

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,008		01/08/2002	Bernard Cuenoud	4-30970A	1967
1095	7590	07/07/2004		EXAMINER	
NOVAR'				KIFLE, I	BRUCK
		ELLECTUAL PR AZA 430/2	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
EAST HA	NOVER,	NJ 07936-1080	1624		
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/07/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Offic	e Action Summary	10/009,008	CUENOUD ET AL.				
Onic	e Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
7. 444		Bruck Kifle, Ph.D.	1624				
The MA	ILING DATE of this communication a	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON* - If the period for rep - Failure to reply with Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 17HS from the mailing date of this communication. bly specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the set or extended period for reply will, by statubly the Office later than three months after the mail adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ Respons	ive to communication(s) filed on 30	April 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	is action is non-final.					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Cla	ims						
4a) Of the 5)	17,19-21,26-29,31-36 and 38-42 is/e above claim(s) 38-40 is/are withdra 17,19-21,26-29,31,32,34-36,41 and 33 is/are rejected is/are objected to are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration. 42 is/are allowed.					
Application Paper	S		•				
9)∏ The speci	fication is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
·		examiner. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119						
a) ☐ All b) 1. ☐ Ce 2. ☐ Ce 3. ☐ Co app	dgment is made of a claim for foreig Some * c) None of: rtified copies of the priority documer rtified copies of the priority documer pies of the certified copies of the pri plication from the International Bure ached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applica Ority documents have been received Ority CT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)		_					
 Notice of Reference Notice of Draftsperior 	ces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
	osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Applicant's amendments and remarks filed 04/30/04 have been received and reviewed. Claims 17, 19-21, 26-29, 31-36 and 38-42 are now pending in this application.

Note that compounds, corresponding compositions, a method of use and a process of making that are of the same scope are considered to form a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1, 37 CFR 1.475(d). Claims 38-40 are not so linked as to form a single inventive concept. These compositions are so diverse in scope that a prior art anticipating one composition under 35 USC 102 would not render obvious another compound of the same claim under 35 USC 103. These claims also raise different issues of patentability. Applicants need to cancel these claims because they are of a different scope.

Claims 38-40 are withdrawn from consideration.

Claims 17, 19-21, 26-29, 31, 32, 34-36, 41 and 42 are allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but not found persuasive. The basis of this rejection is the same as given in the previous office action and is incorporated herein fully by reference. The assay Applicants point to, while enabling for asthma, does not give any guidance to all of the other diseases recited.

As the Supreme Court said in *Brenner v. Manson*, 148 USPQ at 696: "a patent is not a hunting license. It is not a reward for the search, but compensation for its successful conclusion." As U.S. Court of Customs and Patent Appeals stated *In re Diedrich* 138 USPQ at 130, quoting with approval from the decision of the board: "We do not believe that it was the intention of the statutes to require the Patent Office, the courts, or the public to play the sort of guessing game that might be involved if an applicant could satisfy the requirements of the statutes by indicating the usefulness of a claimed compound in terms of possible use so general as to be meaningless and then, after his research or that of his competitors has definitely ascertained an actual use for the compound, adducing evidence intended to show that a particular specific use would have been obvious to men skilled in the particular art to which this use relates."

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1624

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruck Kifle, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0668. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund J. Shah can be reached on 571-272-0674. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Bruck Kifle, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

BK July 1, 2004